Title: NETWORK PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Application Number: 09/995,056

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 0 1 2006

-1-

## PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

for

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0163

Client Reference Number: 260158US

Title: NETWORK PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Application Number: 09/995,056

Filing Date: Monday, November 26, 2001 First Named Inventor: Cruickshank III, Robert F.

Group Art Unit: 2151

Review is requested of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No

amendments are being filed with this request.

This Request is being filed with a notice of appeal.

The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).

I am the attorney or agent of record.

Signature

/Charles A. Mirho/

Date: 9/1/2006

Charles A. Mirho

Reg. 41,199

Attorney for Applicant

Address all correspondence to:

**FSP LLC** 

Attn: Charles A Mirho

P.O. Box 890

Vancouver, WA 98666-0890

**USA** 

Phone: 360-737-1748

Fax: 360-294-6426

Title: NETWORK PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Application Number: 09/995,056

-2-

## ISSUES/ARGUMENTS FOR WHICH THIS REVIEW IS BEING REQUESTED

Claims 1 and 33 recite, inter alia, analyzing the locations of network elements or metrics associated with the network elements to provide an indication of a likely network problem, and a suggested action for addressing the likely network problem. Foulger, Paragraph 97 teaches a web monitor application that captures IP addresses of visitors to a web site, and tests those addresses. Foulger, Paragraph 98 teaches a test application that performs a traceroute on caputred IP addresses and does a DNS lookup on them. This is something else entirely than analyzing the locations of network elements or metrics associated with the network elements to provide an indication of a likely network problem, and a suggested action for addressing the likely network problem.

No analysis performed to provide an indication of the problem; the system of Foulger merely continues to run regardless; no suggest action is made to address the problem. Data collection continues, and when the connection is restored, the appropriate amount of data is obtained (based on the timestamps of the web addresses, i.e. how long the connection was down).

The following table provides a summary of at least some of the distinctions between claims 1 and 33 and the cited references.

claims 1 and 33	Foulger, Paragraph 97
Analyze locations of network elements or	web monitor application that captures IP
metrics associated with the network	addresses of visitors to a web site; no
elements to provide an indication of a	analysis to provide an indication of the
likely network problem, and a suggested	problem or a suggested action to address it
action for addressing the likely network	
problem	
claims 1 and 33	Foulger, Paragraph 98
analyze locations of network elements or	test application that performs a traceroute

Title: NETWORK PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Application Number: 09/995,056

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 1 2006

-3-

metrics associated with the network	on captured IP addresses and does a DNS
elements to provide an indication of a	lookup on them; no analysis to provide an
likely network problem, and a suggested	indication of the problem or a suggested
action for addressing the likely network	action to address it
problem	

Claims 12 and 44 recite inter alia, weighting metrics differently depending upon perceived relevance of an issue associated with the metric to network performance. Feinberg, Column 5, lines 40-49 is merely a general statement that the number of combinations and permutations for processing or shaping the raw data which comprises the QoS events to obtain QoS parameter values is nearly unlimited. This is insufficient to anticipate the specific processing of weighting different metrics differently, when combining the metrics, dependent upon perceived relevance of an issue associated with the metric to network performance.

The only specific example provided by Feinberg teaches a QoS parameter value produced by <u>summing un-weighted raw data</u>, specifically the total number of lost packets in a one second period. There is no teaching of creating <u>a combined metric</u> by <u>weighting</u> the component <u>metrics</u> differently according to their relevance.

It is well established law that the disclosure of a broad genus does not anticipate every species of that genus. See <u>Corning Glass Works v Sumitomo USA</u>, 868 F.2d 1251, 1262 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

The following table provides a summary of at least some of the distinctions between claims 12 and 44 and Feinberg, Column 5, lines 40-49.

claims 12 and 44	Feinberg, Column 5, lines 40-49
combine first metrics by weighting	merely an unspecific, general statement
different metrics differently dependent	that the number of combinations and

Title: NETWORK PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Application Number: 09/995,056

-4-

permutations for processing or shaping the
raw data which comprises the QoS events
to obtain QoS parameter values is nearly
unlimited; an example of summing raw
data (not metrics), without weights, to
produce a metric

Claims 13 and 45 recite, inter alia, performing comparisons of first metrics derived from the raw data with thresholds and to provide second metrics based upon the comparisons. Feinberg, Column 5, lines 40-60 teaches comparing a QoS parameter value with a threshold value, and taking no corrective action if the value is within range. This is something else entirely than performing comparisons of first metrics derived from the raw data with thresholds and providing second metrics based upon the comparisons. Feinberg teaches comparing a parameter with a threshold value but does not teach providing second metrics based upon the comparison.

The following table provides a summary of at least some of the distinctions between claims 13 and 45 and Feinberg, Column 5, lines 40-60.

Feinberg, Column 5, lines 40-60
comparing a QoS parameter value with a
threshold value, and taking no corrective
action if the value is within range

Title: NETWORK PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Application Number: 09/995,056

-5-

Claims 14 and 46 recite, inter alia, the second metrics provide indicia of grades of degraded performance of portions of the network as a function of time. Feinberg, Column 5, lines 45-49 teaches producing a QoS parameter by summing values over a period of time. This is something else entirely than providing indicia of grades of degraded performance of portions of the network as a function of time. Feinberg, Column 5, lines 49-64 teaches taking no action if the parameter is out of range. This too is something else entirely than providing indicia of grades of degraded performance of portions of the network as a function of time.

The following table provides a summary of at least some of the distinctions between claims 14 and 46 and Feinberg, Column 5, lines 45-49.

claims 14 and 46.	Feinberg, Column 5, lines 45-49
the second metrics provide indicia of	teaches producing a QoS parameter by
grades of degraded performance of portions of the network as a function of time	summing values over a period of time
claims 14 and 46	Feinberg, Column 5, lines 49-64
the second metrics provide indicia of	teaches taking no action if the parameter is
grades of degraded performance of portions	out of range
of the network as a function of time	

Claims 23 and 55 recite, inter alia, that the network is a DOCSIS network including cable modems and cable modem termination systems, and the first and second data indicate numbers of cable-modem hours at the grades of degradation. The Office Action cites Vogel, Col. 13 lines 9-24 for a teaching of the claimed aspect(s).

To: Page 17 of 17

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0163 Client Reference Number: 260158US

Title: NETWORK PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Application Number: 09/995,056

-6-

Vogel, Col. 13 lines 9-24 teaches that when impairments in the upstream channel from the cable modem to CMTS exist, cable modem systems provide for the ability to change the upstream channel in which a given cable modem uses to transmit.

There is nothing in Vogel about the first and second data indicating a number of cable modem hours. The following table provides a summary of at least some of the distinctions between 23, 55 and Vogel, Col. 13 lines 9-24.

Merely teaches that cable modem systems
provide for the ability to change the
upstream channel in which a given cable
modem uses to transmit. There is nothing
in Vogel about the first and second data
indicating a number of cable modem hours.